

**INFORMATION LOSS PARADOX TESTED ON CHIRAL
FERMION COUPLED TO A BACKGROUND DILATONIC
FIELD**

Anisur Rahaman, Durgapur Govt. College, Durgapur - 713214, Burdwan,
West Bengal, INDIA
e-mail: anisur@saha.ac.in

PACS No. 11.10 Kk, 11.15. -q

Keywords Information loss, Chiral Fermion, Dilatonic Gravity

Abstract

A model where chiral boson is coupled to a background dilaton field is considered to study the s-wave scattering of fermion by a back ground dilatonic black hole. Unlike the conclusion drawn in [11] it is found that chiral fermion does not violate unitarity and information remains preserved. It is found that Faddevian anomaly plays a crucial role on information loss.

In recent years there has been a lot of interest in the physics of information loss. Matter falling into the black holes carries some information with it. That becomes inaccessible to the rest of the world. A problem arises when the black hole evaporates through Hawking radiation. It is a controversial issue whether or not quantum coherence would be maintained during the formation and subsequent evaporation of a black hole. Quite a long ago Hawking suggested that the process did not preserve information and unitarity failed to be maintained. [1]. It was an indication of a new level of unpredictability in the realm of quantum mechanics induced by gravity. There were plenty of opinions that went against Hawking's suggestion. The main theme of those opinions was that the information about the initial state of the system was carried by some Planck scale steady remnant [2, 3]. It is also fair to admit that the issue gradually shifted against Hawking's suggestion but it was not well settled.

In a recent publication, we find that Hawking has moved away from his previous belief and suggested that quantum gravity interaction does not lead to any loss of information. So there will be no problem to maintain quantum coherence during the formation and subsequent evaporation of the black hole [4]. In spite of that, it has been standing as a controversial issue. Even now Hawking radiation effect on fermion information loss problem is not well understood [5].

This type of problem is very difficult to analyze in general. However, there exist some less complicated models and those were solved to study this paradox [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. In these studies only the s-wave scattering of fermion incident on the extremal charged black hole was considered. Angular momentum coordinate becomes irrelevant in this situation and a two dimensional effective action results [2]. Though those simplified models do not capture the detailed physics of black hole those models contain the information loss paradox in a significant way [8, 10, 11].

To study the information paradox several authors studied the scattering of fermion [6, 7, 8, 9]. The scattering of boson was also considered in [12, 13, 14]. In this context, the scattering of chiral fermion off dilaton black hole is of particular interest [11]. The scattering of Dirac fermion itself is an interesting problem [6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14]. If Dirac fermions are replaced by the chiral fermion it makes the analysis more complex because the chiral fermions generate anomaly in the energy momentum sector when they couple to gravity [15]. Therefore, to get a solution for this type of complicated

problem from the study of the s-wave scattering of fermion from a back ground dilaton field is interesting in its own right.

In ref. [11], the authors showed that the scattering of chiral fermion can be studied in the presence of the anomalies if one deals with the bosonized lagrangian. Bosonization allows anomaly to enter into the process. It would be interesting to investigate the change (if any) appear in the presence of anomaly or with the alteration of the same.

In [11], the author showed that the chiral fermion does not preserve information whereas Dirac fermion in [8, 10] gave a completely opposite result. It preserved information. Therefore, one might think that there might be a possibility for chiral fermion that will be in agreement with the Hawking's recent suggestion. In this context, it would certainly be interesting to investigate whether chiral fermion can offer information preserving result like Dirac fermion. This motivates us to investigate the scattering of chiral fermion off dilatonic black hole in a new setting.

To this end we consider a model where chiral fermion gets coupled to a background dilaton field Φ . Of course, electromagnetic background is there. For sufficiently low energy incoming fermion, the scattering of s-wave fermion incident on a charge dilaton black hole can be described by the action

$$\mathcal{S}_f = \int d^2x [i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu[\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu]\psi - \frac{1}{4}e^{-2\Phi(x)}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}]. \quad (1)$$

Here e has one mass dimension. The indices μ and ν takes the values 0 and 1 in $(1+1)$ dimensional space time. The dilaton field Φ stands as a non dynamical back ground and its only role in this model is to make the coupling constant a position dependent one. Let us now define $g^2(x) = e^{2\Phi(x)}$. Here as usual we will choose a particular dilaton background motivated by the linear dilatonic vacuum of $(1+1)$ dimensional gravity. Therefore, $\Phi(x) = -x^1$, where x^1 is space like coordinate. The region $x^1 \rightarrow \infty$, corresponds to exterior space where the coupling $g^2(x)$ vanishes and the fermion will be able to propagate freely. However, the region where $x^1 \rightarrow -\infty$, the coupling constant will diverge and it is analogous to infinite throat in the interior of certain magnetically charged black hole.

The equation (1) is obtained from the action

$$S_{AF} = \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{g}[R + 4(\nabla\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{Q^2} - \frac{1}{2}F^2 + i\bar{\psi}D\psi] \quad (2)$$

for sufficiently low energy incoming fermion and negligible gravitational effect [8]. It is a two dimensional effective field theory of dilaton gravity coupled to fermion. Here Φ represents the scalar dilaton field and ψ is the charged fermion. Equation (2) was derived viewing the throat region of a four dimensional dilatonic black hole as a compactification from four to two dimension [3, 6, 8]. Note that, in the extremal limit, the geometry is completely non-singular and there is no horizon but when a low energy particle is thrown into the non-singular extremal black hole, it produces a singularity and an event horizon. In this context, we should mention that the geometry of the four dimensional dilatonic black hole consists of three regions [3, 6, 7, 8]. First one is the asymptotically flat region far from the black hole. As long as one proceed nearer to the black hole the curvature begins to rise and finally enters into the mouth region (the entry region to the throat). Well into the throat region, the metric is approximated by the flat two dimensional Minkosky space times the round metric on the two sphere with radius Q and equation (2) results. The dilaton field Φ indeed increases linearly with the proper distance into the throat.

In the present situation we are interested in studying the scattering of chiral fermion. So we need to replace the vector interaction by the chiral interaction which leads to

$$\mathcal{S}_f = \int d^2x [i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu(1 + \gamma_5))\psi - \frac{1}{4}e^{-2\Phi(x)}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}]. \quad (3)$$

Equation (3), is the quantum chiral electrodynamics in place of quantum electrodynamics with a dilaton back ground field Φ . This can be decoupled into the following

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_f &= \int d^2x [\bar{\psi}_R\gamma_\mu\partial^\mu\psi_R + i\bar{\psi}_L\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu)\psi_L \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}e^{-2\Phi(x)}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Here ψ_R represents the right handed fermion. This right handed fermions remain uncoupled in this type of chiral interaction. Integration over the right handed part gives a field independent counter part which can be absorbed within the normalization and the action reduces to the following

$$\mathcal{S}_f = \int d^2x [i\bar{\psi}_L\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + i2e\sqrt{\pi}A_\mu)\psi_L - \frac{1}{4}e^{-2\Phi(x)}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}]. \quad (5)$$

In equation (5) e is replaced by $2e\sqrt{\pi}$ for later convenience. We now bosonize the theory. The advantage of using the bosonized version is that the anomaly automatically gets incorporated within it. So the tree level bosonized theory contains the effect of anomaly too. In order to bosonize the theory when we integrate out the left handed fermion anomaly enter into the theory. The anomaly considered in this situation is of Faddeevian class [21]. With the generalized Faddeevian anomaly [19, 20] the bosonized action reads

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{CH} &= \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi + e(\eta^{\mu\nu} - \epsilon^{\mu\nu})\partial_\mu\phi A_\nu \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}e^2[A_0^2 - A_1^2 + 2\alpha A_1(A_0 + A_1)] - \frac{1}{4}e^{-2\Phi(x)}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}.\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

This equation though shows no Lorentz covariant structure it has the physical Lorentz invariance [20]. Here we impose the chiral constraint $\Omega(x) = \pi(x) - \phi'(x)$, to express the action in terms of chiral boson following the procedure available in [17]. In terms of chiral boson the model turns into

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{CH} &= \dot{\phi}\phi' - \phi'^2 + 2e(A_0 - A_1)\phi' \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}e^2[2(\alpha - 1)A_1^2 + 2(\alpha + 1)A_0A_1] + \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\Phi(x)}F_{01}^2.\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

Here ϕ represents a scalar field. Note that $\dot{\phi}^2$ is absent because the first two terms in the lagrangian (7) corresponds to the kinetic term for chiral boson [22, 23]. It is now necessary to carry out the Hamiltonian analysis of the theory to observe the role of dilaton field on the equation of motion. From the standard definition of momentum the canonical momenta corresponding to the chiral boson field ϕ , the gauge field A_0 and A_1 are obtained.

$$\pi_\phi = \phi', \quad (8)$$

$$\pi_0 = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$\pi_1 = e^{-2\phi(x)}(\dot{A}_1 - A'_0) = \frac{1}{g^2}(\dot{A}_1 - A_0). \quad (10)$$

Here π_ϕ , π_0 and π_1 are the momenta corresponding to the field ϕ , A_0 and A_1 . Using the above equations it is straightforward to obtain the canonical Hamiltonian through a Legendre transformation. The canonical Hamiltonian

is found out to be

$$\begin{aligned}
H_C &= \int dx \left[\frac{1}{2} e^{2\Phi} \pi_1^2 + \pi_1 A'_0 + \phi'^2 - 2e(A_0 - A_1)\phi' \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} e^2 [2(\alpha - 1)A_1^2 + 2(1 + \alpha)A_0 A_1] \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The Hamiltonian though acquires an explicit space dependence through the dilaton field $\Phi(x)$, it has no time dependence. So it is preserved in time. Equation (8) and (9) are the primary constraints of the theory. Therefore, it is necessary to write down an effective Hamiltonian:

$$H_{eff} = H_C + u\pi_0 + v(\pi_\phi - \phi'), \tag{12}$$

where u and v are two arbitrary Lagrange multipliers. The primary constraints (8) and (9) have to be preserve in order to have a consistent theory. The preservation of the constraint (9), leads to a new constraint which is the Gauss law of the theory:

$$G = \pi'_1 + 2e\phi' + e^2(1 + \alpha)A_1 = 0. \tag{13}$$

The preservation of the constraint (8) though does not give rise to any new constraint it fixes the velocity v which comes out to be

$$v = \phi' - e(A_0 - A_1). \tag{14}$$

The constraint (13), also has to be conserved and the conservation of it requires

$$\dot{G} = 0. \tag{15}$$

A new constraint

$$(1 + \alpha)e^{2\Phi}\pi_1 + 2\alpha(A'_0 + A'_1) = 0, \tag{16}$$

appears from the preservation condition (15). No new constraints comes out from the preservation of (16). So we find that the phase space of the theory contains the following four constraints.

$$\omega_1 = \pi_0, \tag{17}$$

$$\omega_2 = \pi'_1 + e\phi' + e^2(1 + \alpha)A_1 = 0, \tag{18}$$

$$\omega_3 = (1 + \alpha)e^{2\Phi}\pi_1 + 2\alpha(A'_0 + A'_1) = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$\omega_4 = \pi_\phi - \phi'. \quad (20)$$

The four constraints (17), (18), (19) and (20) form a second class set and all of these are weak condition up to this stage. If we impose these constraints strongly into the canonical Hamiltonian (11), the canonical Hamiltonian gets simplified into the following.

$$H_R = \int dx^1 \left[\frac{1}{2}e^{2\Phi(x)}\pi_1^2 + \frac{1}{4e^2}\pi_1'^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha-1)\pi_1'A_1 + \frac{1}{4}e^2[(1+\alpha)^2 - 8\alpha]A_1^2 \right]. \quad (21)$$

H_R given in equation (21), is generally known as reduced Hamiltonian. According to Dirac, Poisson bracket gets invalidate for this reduced Hamiltonian [24]. This reduced Hamiltonian however remains consistent with the Dirac brackets which is defined by

$$[A(x), B(y)]^* = [A(x), B(y)] - \int [A(x), \omega_i(\eta)] C_{ij}^{-1}(\eta, z) [\omega_j(z), B(y)] d\eta dz, \quad (22)$$

where $C_{ij}^{-1}(x, y)$ is defined by

$$\int C_{ij}^{-1}(x, z) [\omega_j(z), \omega_k(y)] dz = \delta(x - y) \delta_{ik}. \quad (23)$$

For the theory under consideration $C_{ij}(x, y) =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2\alpha\delta'(x-y) & 0 \\ 0 & -2e^2(1+\alpha)\delta'(x-y) & e^2(1+\alpha)\delta(x-y) & 2e\delta'(x-y) \\ 2\alpha\delta'(x-y) & -e^2(1+\alpha)\delta(x-y) & -(\beta+1)\delta''(x-y) & 0 \\ 0 & +(\beta+1)\delta''(x-y) & (\beta+1)\delta'(x-y) & 2\delta'(x-y) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

Here i and j runs from 1 to 4 and ω 's represent the constraints of the theory. With the definition (22), we can compute the Dirac brackets between the fields describing the reduced Hamiltonian H_R . The Dirac brackets between the fields A_1 and π_1 are required to obtain the theoretical spectra (equation of motion):

$$[A_1(x), A_1(y)]^* = \frac{1}{2e^2}\delta'(x-y), \quad (25)$$

$$[A_1(x), \pi_1(y)]^* = \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{2\alpha} \delta(x - y), \quad (26)$$

$$[\pi_1(x), \pi_1(y)]^* = -\frac{(1 + \alpha)^2}{4\alpha} e^2 \epsilon(x - y). \quad (27)$$

Using (21), (25), (26) and (27), we obtain the following first order equations of motion:

$$\dot{A}_1 = \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{2\alpha} e^{2\Phi(x)} \pi_1 - A'_1, \quad (28)$$

$$\dot{\pi}_1 = \pi'_1 + 2(\alpha - 1)e^2 A_1. \quad (29)$$

After a little algebra we find that the field π_1 satisfy a Klein Gordon equation

$$(\square - e^{2\Phi} e^2 \frac{(\alpha - 1)^2}{\alpha}) \pi_1 = 0, \quad (30)$$

The equation (30), represents a massive boson with square of the mass $m^2 = g^2 \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{\alpha} e^2$. Here α must be negative in order to have the mass of the boson a physical one. Mass of this boson however in this particular situation is not constant. It contains a position dependent factor g^2 which increases indefinitely in the negative x^1 direction. Thus any finite energy contribution must be totally reflected. Therefore, an observer at $x^1 \rightarrow \infty$ will recover all information. To be more specific, mass will vanish near the mouth (the entry region to the throat) but increases indefinitely as one goes into the throat because of the variation of this space dependent factor g . Since massless scalar is equivalent to massless fermion in $(1 + 1)$ dimension, we can conclude that a massless fermion proceeding into the black hole will not be able to travel an arbitrarily long distance and will be reflected back with a unit probability. So, there will be no information loss and a unitary s-matrix can be constructed for this particular scattering problem. This results reminds us the scattering of Dirac fermion [8, 10]. Thus in the description of chiral fermion where $U(1)$ anomaly has been taken into account with the introduction of Faddeevian class of anomalous term is found to be free from the *dangerous* information loss problem. It is the anomaly which has brought this noble change in the scattering result and has saved this model from the danger of information loss. Note that this result is just opposite to the conclusion of [11]. The analysis available in [11], and the present analysis differs only in the anomaly structure but this particular class of anomaly changes the preservation of information scenario for chiral fermion significantly. It is

tempting to note that this noble change appeared in the scattering of chiral fermion in connection with information loss scenario is consistent with the standard belief as well as with the Hawking's recent suggestion. This has not come as a great surprise - similar situations were found elsewhere in quantum electro dynamics and quantum chiral electrodynamics [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 26]. A famous instance is the removal of the long suffering of the chiral electro dynamics from the non unitarity problem [16]. In (1+1) dimensional field theories, we have also noticed the crucial role of anomaly in the confinement aspect of fermion [18, 20, 25, 26]. In the present letter what we observed is a crucial but very interesting role of anomaly. Here we observe its novel role in connection with information loss paradox. So anomaly not always appears as a disturbing term some times it appears as a surprise to give a relief from disturbance. Of course, the merit of a particular model establishes itself when it leads to a definite positive conclusion. This is not a special feature of chiral fermion only. One can also find the variation of information loss scenario in the Dirac fermion if we use anomalous [20] Schwinger model, in place of vector Schwinger model and study the same scattering problem. The bosonised version of the anomalous Schwinger model coupled with the dilaton field is given by the lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi + \epsilon_{\mu\nu}\partial^\nu\phi A^\mu + \frac{1}{2}ae^2A_\mu A^\mu + \frac{1}{4}e^{-2\Phi(x)}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \quad (31)$$

If we proceed through the constraint analysis and obtain hamiltonian equations of motion using Dirac's prescription for constrained hamiltonian systems [26] we will land into the following two second order equations of motion.

$$(\square + m^2)A_1 = 0, \quad (32)$$

$$\square\phi = 0. \quad (33)$$

Here A_1 represents a massive boson and ϕ represents a scalar field. Square of the mass m^2 takes the value $m^2 = (a + 1)e^2e^{2\Phi(x)}$. Detail calculation is not given here. $\square\phi = 0$, represents a massless boson which is equivalent to a massless fermion. This fermion will travel within the black hole without any hindrance and information loss stood as a real problem in this situation. It is to be noted that this problem was not there when anomaly was not taken into consideration, i.e., when a gauge invariant bosonised lagrangian is used

in the analysis [8]. We should mention here that gauge current in the present situation is

$$J_\mu = e\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\partial^\nu\phi + ae^2A_\mu \quad (34)$$

and it does not conserve. In the analysis available in [8], it was $J_\mu = e\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\partial^\nu\phi$ and needless to mention that it was conserved. Variation of information loss problem though looks strange nevertheless it is there within the fermion scattering problem because of the presence anomaly in the in the current and there is room for alteration of anomaly structure [11, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. However one may raise the question which result is consistent in connection with information loss issue. Obviously there is no specific answer. What actually happened in the plank scale physics is not yet clearly known. It still remains as an unsettled issue whether information loss or information preserving result would be acceptable for fermion. If information preserving result is considered to be the accepted one then in that case Faddeevian anomaly will get a special status and our analyses corresponding to chiral fermion will score over the other.

Acknowledgment: It is a pleasure to thank the Director, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics and the Head of the Theory Group of Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata for providing working facilities.

References

- [1] S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys, **43** (1975) 199
- [2] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. **B335** (1990) 138
- [3] D. Garfinkle, G. Horowitz and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. **D43** (1991) 3140
- [4] S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev **D72** (2005) 084013
- [5] D. Ahn, quant-Ph/0604080
- [6] S. Giddings and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 627
- [7] T. Banks, A. Dabholkar, M. Douglas and M. O'Loughlin, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) 3607

- [8] M. Alford and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 563
- [9] C. Callan, S. Giddings, J. Hervey and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) R1005
- [10] A. Peet, L. Susskind and L. Thorlacius, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 3435
- [11] A. Ghosh and P. Mitra, Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994) 7389
- [12] P. Fischer et al, Phys. Lett. **521** (2001) 375
- [13] Grumiller, W. Kummer and D. V. Vassilevich, Phys. Rept. **369** (2002) 327
- [14] Grumiller and R. Meyer, Class. Quant. Grav. **23** (2006) 6435
- [15] S. Bellucci, M. F. L. Golterman and D. N. Petcher, Nucl. Phys. **B326** (1989) 307
- [16] R Jackiw and R Rajaraman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54** (1985) 1219
- [17] K. Harada, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990) 139
- [18] P. Mitra, Phys. Lett **284** (1992) 23 Rev. **43** (1991) 3140
- [19] S. Ghosh and P. Mitra, Phys. Rev. **D44** (1991) 1332
- [20] A. Rahaman, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. **A21** (2006) 1251
- [21] L. D. Faddeev, Phys. Lett. **B167** (1986) 225
- [22] W. Siegel, Nucl. Phys. **B238** (1984) 307 (1984)
- [23] R. Floreanini, R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**(1987) 1873
- [24] P. A. M. Dirac, Lectures on Quantum Mechanics(Yeshiva Univ. Press New York, 1964)
- [25] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev **128** (1962) 2425
- [26] P. Mitra and A. Rahaman, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **249** (1996) 34